

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICAT | ON NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/723 | ,078 | 11/26/2003 | John D. Baxter | PC-1609 | 7875 |
| 23717 T. A. VI | OPEICE | 7590 12/22/200 S OF BRIAN S STEIN | EXAMINER | | |
| 101 E | BREVARI | D AVENUE | PASCUA, JES F | | |
| COCOA, FL 32922 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | • | | 3782 | |
| | | | | | • |
| SHORTENEI | STATUTOR | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | 3 MC | ONTHS | 12/22/2006 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/723,078 | BAXTER, JOHN D. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Jes F. Pascua | 3782 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se | entember 2006 | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | 4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-12 and 17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/03. | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | | |
| . upor rio(a)mian bate <u>i mesos</u> . | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Figs. 9-15, claims 1, 5-8 and 11-17, in the reply filed on 09/26/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that multiple examinations on the separate inventions would be repetitive and excessive. This is not found persuasive because applicant fails to argue how the separate inventions are not mutually exclusive species and that the species are obvious variants.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

It is noted that claim 5 recites structure not found in the description of the elected embodiment of Figs. 9-15 (e.g., first and second flexible "crossed straps"). Therefore, the Examiner has withdrawn claim 5 from consideration.

Claims 6 and 7 also recite structure not found in the description of the elected embodiment (e.g., upper and lower "horizontal straps"). The Examiner has also withdrawn claims 6 and 7 from consideration.

Claims 8, 11, 12 and 17 recite structure not found in the description of the elected embodiment (e.g., "the third compartment being attached along a midportion behind the first and second compartment"). The Examiner has also withdrawn claims 8, 11, 12 and 17 from consideration.

Art Unit: 3782

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "212" has been used to designate both a tank cylinder (Fig. 13) and a strap material edge (Figs. 14 and 15). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,078

Art Unit: 3782

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S> Patent No. 5,447,216 to Freyvogel. See Fig. 5.

Page 4

5. Claims 1 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,805,225 to Freedman.

Freedman discloses a container bag (10) comprising first and second compartments (50, 60) attached to each other at rings (44). Each compartment has a flexible and pliable strap frame (61) and includes tubular containers made from pliable and flexible mesh material. The container bag further comprises a top lid (16) of pliable and flexible material that can be positioned from a flap open position to a closed position and a bottom cover (20) of pliable and flexible material closing the bottom of the container bag.

Having met the structure of applicant's claims, the container bag of Freedman is capable of receiving cylindrical tanks into the first and second compartments to be stored in an upright vertical position and a horizontal position. Furthermore, the handles on the Freedman container bag allow it to handled and carried by a single user.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,078

Art Unit: 3782

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3782

JFP